



Estimates of age-dependent cutoffs for pathological brain volume loss using SIENA/FSL—a longitudinal brain volumetry study in healthy adults



Roland Opfer^{a,b,*}, Ann-Christin Ostwaldt^b, Maria Pia Sormani^c, Carola Gocke^d, Christine Walker-Egger^a, Praveena Manogaran^{a,e}, Nicola De Stefano^f, Sven Schippling^a

^a Neuroimmunology and Multiple Sclerosis Research, Department of Neurology, University Hospital Zurich and University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

^b Jung diagnostics GmbH, Hamburg, Germany

^c Biostatistics Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

^d Medical Prevention Center Hamburg (MPCH), Hamburg, Germany

^e Department of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland

^f Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, University of Siena, Siena, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 August 2017

Received in revised form 19 December 2017

Accepted 21 December 2017

Available online 30 December 2017

Keywords:

Brain atrophy

Aging

Multiple sclerosis

Brain volume loss

SIENA

Cutoff

ABSTRACT

Brain volume loss (BVL) has gained increasing interest for monitoring tissue damage in neurodegenerative diseases including multiple sclerosis (MS). In this longitudinal study, 117 healthy participants (age range 37.3–82.6 years) received at least 2 magnetic resonance imaging examinations. BVL (in %) was determined with the Structural Image Evaluation using Normalisation of Atrophy/FMRIB Software Library and annualized. Mean BVL per year was 0.15%, 0.30%, 0.46%, and 0.61% at ages 45, 55, 65, and 75 years, respectively. The corresponding BVL per year values of the age-dependent 95th percentiles were 0.52%, 0.77%, 1.05% and 1.45%. Pathological BVL can be assumed if an individual BVL per year exceeds these thresholds for a given age. The mean BVL per year determined in this longitudinal study was consistent with results from a cross-sectional study that was published recently. The cut-off for a pathological BVL per year at the age of 45 years (0.52%) was consistent with the cut-off suggested previously to distinguish between physiological and pathological BVL in MS patients. Different cut-off values, however, need to be considered when interpreting BVL assessed in cohorts of higher ages.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Brain atrophy determined by structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an increasingly recognized measure of degenerative pathology in neurodegenerative disorders including multiple sclerosis (MS). Brain volume loss per year (BVL per year) between 0.1% and 0.3% has been reported in young, healthy individuals (Takao et al., 2012). In contrast, MS patients of comparable age show BVL per year that typically clusters between 0.50% and 1.35% (Chard and Miller, 2009). Such BVL per year clearly falls beyond what seems related to physiological aging and thus may serve as a potential early marker of disease progression (Fisniku et al., 2008; Popescu et al., 2013). Recently, a cutoff of 0.52% BVL per year (with an error rate of 5%) or 0.40% BVL per year (with an error rate of 20%) has been suggested to distinguish between physiological and pathological BVL in MS patients (De Stefano et al., 2016).

Several cross-sectional (Fjell et al., 2009, 2013; Marcus et al., 2007; Schippling et al., 2017; Ziegler et al., 2012) and longitudinal studies (Driscoll et al., 2009; Hedman et al., 2012; Marcus et al., 2010; Taki et al., 2011) found that BVL critically depends on age, in both MS patients and healthy individuals. A recent study reported age-dependent mean BVL per year values in physiological aging (Schippling et al., 2017). However, the data in that study were extrapolated from cross-sectional brain volumetry data using a nonparametric fitting approach. As discussed before (Schippling et al., 2017), cross-sectional data allow the determination of mean BVL per year values of a given cohort but lack estimates of the biological variability (age-dependent standard deviations) of BVL per year values for a specific age range. To interpret BVL per year in disease models correctly, it is of utmost importance to do so against the background of measurements derived from longitudinal studies in healthy aging populations, to allow a correction for physiological aging. The aim of this study was to validate BVL per year in healthy individuals that some of the authors of this study previously investigated cross-sectionally (Schippling et al., 2017) using a longitudinal cohort of healthy individuals. We assessed the mean and

* Corresponding author at: Jung diagnostics GmbH, Röntgenstraße 24, 22335 Hamburg, Germany. Tel.: +49408197696712; fax: +49408197696717.

E-mail address: roland.opfer@jung-diagnostics.de (R. Opfer).

the variability of the BVL per year for each age range. Beyond confirming results of other longitudinal studies on physiological aging (Driscoll et al., 2009; Hedman et al., 2012; Marcus et al., 2010; Taki et al., 2011), in a large single-scanner cohort, the aim of this study was to provide cutoff values to discriminate physiological from pathological BVL in an age-dependent manner.

2. Methods

2.1. Study participants

The cohort was selected from a group of asymptomatic, healthy individuals undergoing a brain MRI scan as part of an extensive medical prevention program at the Medical Prevention Center in Hamburg, Germany. All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Board of Physicians in Hamburg, Germany. Individuals participating in the prevention program were included into the final cohort if they had no history of or currently ongoing neurological or psychiatric condition and if there were no structural abnormalities on the brain MRIs according to visual inspection by an experienced radiologist (CG). Eligible participants received at least 2 MRI examinations on the same 1.5T Magnetom Avanto scanner (software version B15; Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) using the identical 8-channel head coil and identical sequence settings throughout the study. Originally, 119 participants were included in this study. Two of these participants were excluded; 1 participant (age of 23.7 years) was more than 10 years younger than the second-youngest participant of the cohort. Similarly, the second excluded participant (age of 88.9 years) was more than 5 years older than the second-oldest participant of the cohort. We excluded these patients to avoid nonlinear effects in the fitting of the results for the whole cohort. From the remaining 117 participants, 93 were males and 24 were females, and the mean age was 61.9 years (37.3–82.6 years). The cohort comprised 89 participants with exactly 1 follow-up scan, 20 participants with 2 follow-up scans, and 8 participants with more than 2 follow-up scans. Age distribution of the cohort is shown in Fig. 1. The mean interval time between baseline and the latest follow-up scan was 3.2 years (standard deviation [SD] = 1.54 years, range = 2–7 years).

2.2. MRI protocol

The MRI protocol consisted of a 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence with a repetition time (TR) of 980 ms, echo time (TE) of 2.95 ms, inversion time (TI) of




Fig. 1. Age distribution (separated for males and females) of the cohort.

600 ms, a flip angle of 15°, and an isotropic voxel grid of 1 mm. The MPRAGE sequence lasted for approximately 3 minutes. The MPRAGE sequence was applied as a diagnostic sequence as part of a larger protocol that included a whole-body MRI examination with an angiogram. For the angiogram, a contrast agent (Gadovist) was applied. The MPRAGE sequence analyzed for this study was obtained before contrast agent administration. As the scanner is a dedicated examination tool for the prevention program, protocol settings, head coil, and software version were kept unchanged throughout the study period. In less than 1% of the scans, the MPRAGE sequence was repeated due to motion artifacts. Regular consistency measurements were performed on the scanner as an important part of the regular 3–4 months' service intervals to ensure no deviation from former measurements. The images analyzed in this study are therefore comparable over the whole study period.

2.3. BVL with SIENA

BVL of the whole brain between 2 time points was quantified using the Structural Image Evaluation using Normalisation of Atrophy (SIENA) method (Smith et al., 2002), which is part of the FMRIB Software Library (FSL; <http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl>). The performance of SIENA can differ greatly depending on parameter settings and preprocessing steps (Cover et al., 2014; Popescu et al., 2012). We used SIENA (version 5.06) with optimized preprocessing parameters. As a first preprocessing step, we applied the FSL script “fslreorient2std” to match the orientation of all images to that of the standard template image (Montreal Neurological Institute). In addition, we performed a neck removal as recommended (Popescu et al., 2012). Skull stripping with the Brain Extraction Tool (FSL; <https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/wiki/BET/>) was deployed, and the SIENA settings were “-B -f 0.2 -m”, which differ from the default settings. With the configuration described, we calculated the BVL (in %) for all study participants. For several participants, more than 2 scans were available. In these cases, the BVL was calculated for each pair of 2 consecutive MRI scans. Annualized BVL (BVL per year) was calculated for each participant from the slope of the regression line fitted to all BVL measurements for that participant. More precisely, if bvl_i denotes the percentage BVL measurement between 2 time points age_i and age_{i+1} , and then the participant's brain volume (denoted by vol) will change according to the formula $vol_{i+1} = vol_i \cdot (1 - bvl_i/100)$. For each participant, we then computed a linear regression function f fitting the data (age_i, vol_i) . The final annualized percentage BVL for each study participant was then defined as $\frac{bvl}{year} := 100 \cdot \frac{f(age_0) - f(age_n)}{f(age_0)(age_1 - age_0)}$, where age_0 is the age at baseline, and age_n is the age at the last follow-up scan. Note that $bvl/year$ computed with the aforementioned formula is independent from the brain volume vol_0 at baseline, which was set to 100. In the case of only 2 available MRI scans (and thus only one BVL measurement), the formula reduces to $100 \cdot \frac{f(age_0) - f(age_1)}{f(age_0)(age_1 - age_0)} = \frac{bvl_1}{age_1 - age_0}$. The latter expression is the known formula to annualize BVL measurements (BVL per year).

2.4. Statistics

For the analysis of each participant, age was defined as the mean of the age at baseline and the age at the last follow-up scan. Age and BVL per year were tested for differences between males and females with a 2-sample t -test. We calculated a linear regression function reg between age (denoted by age_i) and $bvl/year$ for all study participants. Points on the regression line can be interpreted as mean BVL per year for a particular age. For each age, we determined the variability of the measurements at that age by a sliding window technique. More precisely, for each age x , all participants with ages within the interval

$x \pm 15$ years were used to compute the age-dependent 80th and 95th percentiles of the distances from the measured BVL per year to the regression line at the corresponding ages [more mathematically: for each age x , we computed the percentiles(x) of the numbers $\{ (reg(age_j) - bvl_j/year) : \text{all } j \text{ such that } x - 15 \leq age_j \leq x + 15 \}$]. For each age, we can expect that 5% of the measurements exceed the 95th percentile and 20% of the measurements exceed the 80th percentile. Therefore, the 95th percentile can be used as a cutoff for pathological BVL per year with an error probability of 5%, and the 80th percentile can be used as a cutoff with an error probability of 20%.

3. Results

Mean BVL per year was not significantly different between male ($0.42\% \pm 0.37\%$) and female ($0.36\% \pm 0.35\%$) participants ($p = 0.51$; Table 1). Mean BVL per year was 0.15%, 0.30%, 0.46%, and 0.61% at ages 45, 55, 65, and 75 years, respectively (Table 2 and regression line depicted in Fig. 2). In Table 2, columns 3 and 4 show the 80th and 95th percentiles for BVL per year between ages 45 and 80 years. These values can serve as age-dependent cutoffs to distinguish physiological from pathological BVL with 80% and 95% specificity, respectively. The cutoffs for a pathological BVL per year (with an error probability of 5%) were 0.52%, 0.77%, 1.05%, and 1.45% at ages 45, 55, 65, and 75 years, respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Fig. 2 shows the association of age with measured BVL per year and the resulting 95th percentiles. Fig. 3 shows example slices of 3D T1-weighted images from a 46-year-old and a 72-year-old participant, illustrating the different BVL rates at different ages.

4. Discussion

BVL per year values reported here using SIENA/FSL in a longitudinal cohort of healthy individuals were consistent with BVL per year values extrapolated from cross-sectional data that some of the authors of this study reported before (Schippling et al., 2017). Previously, 0.24% BVL per year at the age of 45 years and 0.52% at 70 years were determined from cross-sectional data (Schippling et al., 2017), whereas in this longitudinal study, we found a BVL per year of 0.15% at the age of 45 years and 0.53% at 70 years. We therefore confirmed the previous observation that BVL increases concomitantly with age. The baseline MRIs of 29 participants of this longitudinal study were part of the data in the aforementioned cross-sectional study (Schippling et al., 2017). Few other studies did not observe a similar relationship between BVL and aging (Jack et al., 2008; Mueller et al., 1998; Scahill et al., 2003). A possible limitation of these studies is the small sample size ($n \approx 40$). In addition, for longitudinal studies in particular, the methodology applied for the determination of BVL impacts greatly on the results (Durand-Dubief et al., 2012). It is known that segmentation-based methods usually feature a higher variability than registration-based methods (Durand-Dubief et al., 2012). Thus, it is important to measure BVL with a method featuring high accuracy and low variability. In this study, BVL was measured with SIENA/FSL, which

Table 1
Age and BVL per year for male and female participants

Sex	n	Age (years)	BVL (%) per year
Males	93	62.1 ± 11.2	0.42 ± 0.37
Females	24	61.04 ± 10.7	0.36 ± 0.35
p-value		0.77	0.51

For each participant, the mean of the age at baseline and the age at the last follow-up scan are reported. Values are given as mean ± standard deviation.
Key: BVL, brain volume loss.

Table 2
BVL per year between 45 and 80 years

Age (years)	Mean BVL (%) per year	Cutoff for pathological BVL (%) per year with an error probability of	
		20%	5%
45	0.15	0.33	0.52
50	0.22	0.38	0.59
55	0.30	0.43	0.77
60	0.38	0.50	0.91
65	0.46	0.60	1.05
70	0.53	0.72	1.27
75	0.61	0.80	1.45
80	0.69	0.93	1.55

Data are given as mean (2nd column), 80th percentile (3rd column), and 95th percentile (4th column).

The values in the last 2 columns can be used as age-dependent cutoffs for pathological BVL with an error probability of 20% and 5%, respectively.

Key: BVL, brain volume loss.

is a registration-based method (Smith et al., 2002). This software tool is well established in the assessment of longitudinal BVL and features a median error of 0.15% (Smith et al., 2002). The interval between MRI examinations is critical and needs to be sufficiently long, to distinguish between changes due to physiological effects and those due to methodological and biological noise. For participants with only one follow-up, we annualized BVL values by dividing the value by the length of the interval between scans (which is the same as the slope of the regression line through the measurements divided by the scan interval) thereby improving the signal-to-noise ratio. The mean scan interval in this study was 3.2 years (2–7 years); hence, the magnitude of the noise level of BVL per year in this study is approximately $0.15\%/3.2 = 0.046\%$. Because the estimated noise level of 0.046% is approximately 10 times smaller than the measured effects (mean BVL per year is 0.42%), we can conclude that noise or measurement error has only a limited impact on our results.

With increasing age, the BVL per year measurements seem to have more variability (see scatter plot in Fig. 2). From a biological perspective, a number of influencing (including toxic) factors have accumulated in older participants and may impact on the actual brain volume throughout their life. Also, it cannot be ultimately ruled out that in some older participants, subclinical or very early pathological effects impact brain tissue integrity. Therefore, determining the physiological BVL and reliably distinguishing it from pathological atrophy becomes even more challenging with older




Fig. 2. Association of age and measured BVL per year (in %). The dotted line represents the 95th percentile. The light red area indicates values of abnormal BVL per year since 95% of all healthy study participants feature lower BVL per year. Abbreviation: BVL, brain volume loss. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)




Fig. 3. Example of axial slices of a 3D T1-weighted image for 2 participants illustrating different BVL values. The upper row shows 3D T1-weighted images of a 72-year-old male at baseline (A) and after 6.4 years (B) exhibiting a BVL of 3.6% (0.56% per year). The lower row shows 3D T1-weighted images of a 46-year-old female at baseline (C) and after 6.5 years (D) showing a BVL of 0.93% (0.14% per year). Abbreviation: BVL, brain volume loss.

age. One aim of our study was to capture this age-dependent variability by applying a sliding window technique when computing the 80th and 95th percentiles. We used a window size of 30 years (± 15 years), which we found to be large enough to capture a sufficient number of measurements for each window, while at the same time, small enough to capture the increasing variability. At the boundary of the data (age 45 and 80 years), the sliding window interval contained 54 measurements, whereas at the center of the data (age 60 years), the interval contained 96 measurements. An alternative approach is to compute constant 80th and 95th percentiles over the whole age range. By doing so, the resulting age-dependent 95th percentiles are 0.73% per year, 0.88% per year, 1.04% per year, and 1.19% per year at ages 45, 55, 65, and 75 years,

respectively (the corresponding values with the sliding window technique are shown in [Table 2](#), column 4). The resulting cutoffs using a constant 95th percentile seem to overestimate true variability for younger participants and to underestimate it for older individuals.

As mentioned previously, a cutoff of 0.52% per year (error rate 5%) or 0.40% per year (error rate 20%) has been suggested based on a cohort of 35 healthy controls (mean age of 37 years) to distinguish physiological from pathological BVL in MS ([De Stefano et al., 2016](#)). The mean follow-up time in that study was 6.3 years, and the BVL was computed with the same method (SIENA/FSL) as in our study. From this longitudinal cohort, we obtain cutoffs of 0.52% per year (error rate of 5%) and 0.33% per year (error rate of 20%) for 45-year-

old patients, which is consistent with the cutoffs proposed in that previous study. Our results also clearly show that for older age groups different cutoff values for pathological BVL need to be considered (Table 2).

It is not trivial to establish a sensitive cutoff that is able to discriminate between physiological and pathological BVL in MS patients as previously mentioned (Barkhof, 2016; De Stefano et al., 2016) because the overlap between BVL in patients and healthy controls is significant. In particular, not all MS patients exhibit pathological brain atrophy. A number of disease-related (e.g., inflammatory edema, seemingly increasing brain volumes) and treatment-related factors (e.g., washout of inflammatory edema leading to so-called “pseudoatrophy”) complicate the interpretation of single measures, especially when applied on short-term repeated MRI scans. Therefore, the increased variability of physiological BVL per year with age adds to the complexity of brain volume measures on a single patient level, rendering it difficult to reliably distinguish between physiological and pathological BVL. With the cutoffs provided here, it is, however, possible to estimate whether or not a measured BVL falls beyond the borders of the physiological range with satisfying specificity.

In contrast to other longitudinal studies on physiological aging (Driscoll et al., 2009; Hedman et al., 2012; Marcus et al., 2010; Taki et al., 2011), this study includes a large cohort of healthy participants with a broad age range and a sufficiently long follow-up time. Furthermore, we used highly standardized MRI acquisitions over several years in a single-scanner setting. To our knowledge, this is the first study reporting mean BVL per year values and the biological variability of BVL per year in physiological aging for a broad age range. However, a possible limitation of this study is the male preponderance of the cohort because particularly in MS, women are more frequently affected. We did not find, however, a significant difference in age or BVL per year between genders, the latter being consistent with previous publications (Fjell et al., 2009; Takao et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2001).

Other factors that might have an impact on accelerated atrophy in older age are related to lifestyle such as smoking or alcohol consumption, genetics such as apolipoprotein E expression, and cardiovascular risk profiles such as diabetes or hypertension (Enzinger et al., 2005). Even if biological factors are accounted for, methodological factors such as scanner, coil, and protocol changes greatly influence the results of BVL measurements. We tried to mitigate these effects using scans that were acquired on the same MRI scanner with the same protocol and settings throughout the study. We used a widely applied software package (SIENA), which has been shown to have low test-retest variability (Cover et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2007). However, we have to emphasize that the provided cutoffs are only valid for results obtained using the same method. Finally, the method used here does not provide information on regional volume changes. Other methodologies such as the Jacobian determinant (Ashburner and Ridgway, 2012) or Statistical Parametric Mapping (Ashburner and Friston, 2000; Muhlau et al., 2009) should be applied to obtain tissue specific cutoff values of BVL.

Disclosure statement

SS is supported by the Clinical Research Priority Program of the University of Zurich and the Swiss Multiple Sclerosis Society. He has received research grants from Novartis and Sanofi Genzyme and consultancy and speaker fees from Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva. PM and CW-E have received travel support from Sanofi Genzyme and Merck Serono. NDS has received honoraria and consultation fees from Merck Serono S.A., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Novartis Pharma AG, Bayer

Schering AG, Sanofi-Aventis, and Serono Symposia International Foundation. MPS received consulting fees from Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Teva, Genzyme, Roche, Novartis, GeNeuro, and MedDay.

References

- Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 2000. Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. *NeuroImage* 11 (6 Pt 1), 805–821.
- Ashburner, J., Ridgway, G.R., 2012. Symmetric diffeomorphic modeling of longitudinal structural MRI. *Front Neurosci.* 6, 197.
- Barkhof, F., 2016. Brain atrophy measurements should be used to guide therapy monitoring in MS - NO. *Mult. Scler.* 22, 1524–1526.
- Chard, D., Miller, D., 2009. Grey matter pathology in clinically early multiple sclerosis: evidence from magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 282, 5–11.
- Cover, K.S., van Schijndel, R.A., Popescu, V., van Dijk, B.W., Redolfi, A., Knol, D.L., Frisoni, G.B., Barkhof, F., Vrenken, H. *neuGrid*, *Alzheimers Disease Neuroimaging Initiative*, 2014. The SIENA/FSL whole brain atrophy algorithm is no more reproducible at 3T than 1.5 T for Alzheimer's disease. *Psychiatry Res.* 224, 14–21.
- Cover, K.S., van Schijndel, R.A., van Dijk, B.W., Redolfi, A., Knol, D.L., Frisoni, G.B., Barkhof, F., Vrenken, H. *neuGrid* *Alzheimers Disease Neuroimaging Initiative*, 2011. Assessing the reproducibility of the SienaX and Siena brain atrophy measures using the ADNI back-to-back MP-RAGE MRI scans. *Psychiatry Res.* 193, 182–190.
- De Stefano, N., Stromillo, M.L., Giorgio, A., Bartolozzi, M.L., Battaglini, M., Baldini, M., Portaccio, E., Amato, M.P., Sormani, M.P., 2016. Establishing pathological cut-offs of brain atrophy rates in multiple sclerosis. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 87, 93–99.
- Driscoll, I., Davatzikos, C., An, Y., Wu, X., Shen, D., Kraut, M., Resnick, S.M., 2009. Longitudinal pattern of regional brain volume change differentiates normal aging from MCI. *Neurology* 72, 1906–1913.
- Durand-Dubief, F., Belaroussi, B., Armpach, J.P., Dufour, M., Roggerone, S., Vukusic, S., Hannoun, S., Sappey-Mariniere, D., Confavreux, C., Cotton, F., 2012. Reliability of longitudinal brain volume loss measurements between 2 sites in patients with multiple sclerosis: comparison of 7 quantification techniques. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 33, 1918–1924.
- Enzinger, C., Fazekas, F., Matthews, P.M., Ropele, S., Schmidt, H., Smith, S., Schmidt, R., 2005. Risk factors for progression of brain atrophy in aging: six-year follow-up of normal subjects. *Neurology* 24, 1704–1711.
- Fisniku, L.K., Chard, D.T., Jackson, J.S., Anderson, V.M., Altmann, D.R., Miszkil, K.A., Thompson, A.J., Miller, D.H., 2008. Gray matter atrophy is related to long-term disability in multiple sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 64, 247–254.
- Fjell, A.M., Westlye, L.T., Amlien, I., Espeseth, T., Reinvang, I., Raz, N., Agartz, I., Salat, D.H., Greve, D.N., Fischl, B., Dale, A.M., Walhovd, K.B., 2009. Minute effects of sex on the aging brain: a multisample magnetic resonance imaging study of healthy aging and Alzheimer's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 8774–8783.
- Fjell, A.M., Westlye, L.T., Grydeland, H., Amlien, I., Espeseth, T., Reinvang, I., Raz, N., Holland, D., Dale, A.M., Walhovd, K.B. *Alzheimers Disease Neuroimaging Initiative*, 2013. Critical ages in the life course of the adult brain: nonlinear subcortical aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 2239–2247.
- Hedman, A., van Haren, N., Schnack, H., Kahn, R., Hulshoff, P.H., 2012. Human brain changes across the life span: a review of 56 longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 33, 1987–2002.
- Jack Jr., C.R., Weigand, S.D., Shiung, M.M., Przybelski, S.A., O'Brien, P.C., Gunter, J.L., Knopman, D.S., Boeve, B.F., Smith, G.E., Petersen, R.C., 2008. Atrophy rates accelerate in amnesic mild cognitive impairment. *Neurology* 70 (19 Pt 2), 1740–1752.
- Marcus, D.S., Fotenos, A.F., Csernansky, J.G., Morris, J.C., Buckner, R.L., 2010. Open access series of imaging studies: longitudinal MRI data in nondemented and demented older adults. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 22, 2677–2684.
- Marcus, D.S., Wang, T.H., Parker, J., Csernansky, J.G., Morris, J.C., Buckner, R.L., 2007. Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS): cross-sectional MRI data in young, middle aged, nondemented, and demented older adults. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 19, 1498–1507.
- Mueller, E.A., Moore, M.M., Kerr, D.C., Sexton, G., Camicioli, R.M., Howieson, D.B., Quinn, J.F., Kaye, J.A., 1998. Brain volume preserved in healthy elderly through the eleventh decade. *Neurology* 51, 1555–1562.
- Muhlau, M., Wohlschlagel, A.M., Gaser, C., Valet, M., Weindl, A., Nunnemann, S., Peinemann, A., Etgen, T., Ilg, R., 2009. Voxel-based morphometry in individual patients: a pilot study in early Huntington disease. *AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 30, 539–543.
- Popescu, V., Agosta, F., Hulst, H.E., Sluimer, I.C., Knol, D.L., Sormani, M.P., Enzinger, C., Ropele, S., Alonso, J., Sastre-Garriga, J., Rovira, A., Montalban, X., Bodini, B., Ciccarelli, O., Khaleeli, Z., Chard, D.T., Matthews, L., Palace, J., Giorgio, A., De Stefano, N., Eisele, P., Gass, A., Polman, C.H., Uitdehaag, B.M., Messina, M.J., Comi, G., Filippi, M., Barkhof, F., Vrenken, H., Group, M.S., 2013. Brain atrophy and lesion load predict long term disability in multiple sclerosis. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 84, 1082–1091.
- Popescu, V., Battaglini, M., Hoogstrate, W.S., Verfaillie, S.C., Sluimer, I.C., van Schijndel, R.A., van Dijk, B.W., Cover, K.S., Knol, D.L., Jenkinson, M., Barkhof, F., De Stefano, N., Vrenken, H., 2012. Optimizing parameter choice for FSL-Brain

- Extraction Tool (BET) on 3D T1 images in multiple sclerosis. *NeuroImage* 61, 1484–1494.
- Scahill, R.I., Frost, C., Jenkins, R., Whitwell, J.L., Rossor, M.N., Fox, N.C., 2003. A longitudinal study of brain volume changes in normal aging using serial registered magnetic resonance imaging. *Arch. Neurol.* 60, 989–994.
- Schipling, S., Ostwaldt, A.-C., Suppa, P., Spies, L., Manogaran, P., Gocke, C., Huppertz, H.-J., Opfer, R., 2017. Global and regional annual brain volume loss rates in physiological aging. *J. Neurol.* 264, 520–528.
- Smith, S.M., Rao, A., De Stefano, N., Jenkinson, M., Schott, J.M., Matthews, P.M., Fox, N.C., 2007. Longitudinal and cross-sectional analysis of atrophy in Alzheimer's disease: cross-validation of BSI, SIENA and SIENAX. *NeuroImage* 36, 1200–1206.
- Smith, S.M., Zhang, Y., Jenkinson, M., Chen, J., Matthews, P.M., Federico, A., De Stefano, N., 2002. Accurate, robust, and automated longitudinal and cross-sectional brain change analysis. *NeuroImage* 17, 479–489.
- Takao, H., Hayashi, N., Ohtomo, K., 2012. A longitudinal study of brain volume changes in normal aging. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 81, 2801–2804.
- Taki, Y., Kinomura, S., Sato, K.G., Goto, R., Kawashima, R., Fukuda, H., 2011. A longitudinal study of gray matter volume decline with age and modifying factors. *Neurobiol. Aging* 32, 907–915.
- Tang, Y., Whitman, G.T., Lopez, I., Baloh, R.W., 2001. Brain volume changes on longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging in normal older people. *J. Neuroimaging* 11, 393–400.
- Ziegler, G., Dahnke, R., Jancke, L., Yotter, R.A., May, A., Gaser, C., 2012. Brain structural trajectories over the adult lifespan. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 33, 2377–2389.